Deepa Mehta's Trilogy and the representation of the Indian society

Hiya Deb
PhD researcher in the Media,
Communication and Cultural Studies,
Department at Goldsmiths,
University of London
E-mail: hdeb001@gold.ac.uk

Abstract:

Deepa Mehta's trilogy, comprising "Fire," "Earth," and "Water," delves into intricate social and political issues pertaining to gender, sexuality, religion, and caste in Indian society. The trilogy has been critically acclaimed for its poignant depiction of these themes, inciting significant conversations and debates about them. Furthermore, the trilogy's exploration of complex social and political issues has inspired social and political activism, leading to the creation of a more inclusive and equitable society in India and beyond. The trilogy can be analysed as a critique of the power dynamics within Indian society, calling for resistance against dominant norms, institutions, and discourses. "Fire" challenges traditional gender and sexual norms, raising important questions about the relationship between desire, power, and resistance. "Earth" explores the production and circulation of knowledge surrounding nationalism, religion, and identity, and how they are used to justify acts of violence and exclusion. "Water" sheds light on the discrimination and marginalization experienced by widows in India, emphasizing the need for a more inclusive and equitable society. Deepa Mehta's trilogy has made a significant contribution to Indian society by challenging dominant narratives, breaking social taboos, and sparking essential conversations about social justice and human rights. The films have inspired social and political activism, contributing to the creation of a more inclusive and equitable society in India and beyond. This paper examines the impact of Deepa Mehta's trilogy on Indian society, highlighting the ways in which these films have contributed to ongoing discussions and debates surrounding issues of power, resistance, and social change

Deepa Mehta's Trilogy and the representation of Indian society

Born in Amritsar, India, in 1950, Deepa Mehta is a renowned filmmaker who has gained recognition for her exploration of the intersection of caste, gender, and religion in her films. Although Mehta moved to Canada in the 1970s, she started making films in the 1980s and

has since tackled controversial topics such as homosexuality, domestic violence, and religious extremism. However, Mehta's films have a recurring theme of caste, which is a pervasive social and cultural issue in India.

Mehta's films challenge the traditional notions of caste, which is a system of social stratification in India that determines a person's status and opportunities based on their birth. Mehta portrays the negative effects of the caste system on individuals and society in her films. Furthermore, Mehta explores the intersection of caste and gender in her films. Mehta's trilogy, consisting of "Fire" (1996), "Earth" (1998), and "Water" (2005), is particularly significant in her depiction of caste.

We can make a generalized statement that Mehta's films have had a significant impact on the discourse around caste in India and beyond. Her films challenge the traditional notions of caste and expose the negative effects of the caste system on individuals and society. Mehta's films also highlight the intersection of caste with other forms of oppression, such as gender. In Vijay Mishra's book "*Bollywood Cinema: Temple of Desire*," he analyses the political and historical context of Mehta's film '*Earth*' (1999) where he states- "*For the unpalatable fact is that in India the birth of a nation after a rather long and protracted independence struggle created not so much the wonderful ram rajya (the vision of the satyagrahi for whom much of the struggle had been discursively recast in terms of the Hindu renouncer) but its very negation, the demonic specter of "partition," a point so powerfully made in Deepa Mehta's film Earth (1999).*" (Mishra, 2002. p.211). Mishra argues that Mehta's films critique the postcolonial state in India by depicting the violence and division caused by the partition of India and the failure of the state to provide justice to marginalised communities. Mishra also notes that Mehta's films depict the tension between tradition and modernity in India and the struggle to negotiate the legacy of colonialism.

Several film studies philosophers have analysed Mehta's trilogy. I will analyse the films with some of the theories in mind, for instance, using Gayatri Spivak's "subaltern" theory Mehta's films show the oppression of women (Spivak, 1988. p21-78), again using Judith Butler's theory of "gender trouble" the film's portrayal of gender and sexuality has been expressed (Butler, 1990, p94-112). From a personal perspective, Deepa Mehta's trilogy holds immense value in its critical exploration of social and political issues in Indian society. These films address topics such as gender, sexuality, religion, and caste, which are often treated from a commercial viewpoint in mainstream cinema. As an Indian, I appreciate Mehta's boldness in bringing these issues to the forefront and shedding light on their complexities. By challenging dominant narratives and breaking social taboos, these films have initiated crucial conversations about social justice and human rights. Mehta's films have demonstrated the power of art in bringing about social change and have reinforced my belief in the transformative potential of storytelling.

"Fire" (1996) is a thought-provoking film that explores the oppressive cultural and societal norms that prevent women from freely expressing their identity and sexuality. The

story takes place in contemporary Delhi and focuses on the lives of two sisters-in-law, Radha and Sita, who are trapped in loveless marriages with two brothers. Both women are oppressed and misused by their husbands, their lives choked by commitments to family, arranged marriages, and traditional patriarchal notions of duty. The film portrays the intimate friendship and eventual lesbian relationship that develops between the two women, and the societal and familial pressures that prevent them from being able to openly express their love for each other.

When their secret is discovered, Radha and Sita break free from the oppressive bonds of patriarchal control over their sexuality and identity by leaving their husbands. However, their decision to live according to their own desires and preferences elicits violent reactions from their families, highlighting the deeply ingrained patriarchal attitudes and prejudices that exist in Indian society. The film was controversial for its portrayal of lesbianism and faced protests and censorship in India, but it was also praised for breaking the silence about same-gender sexuality in India and challenging the Hindu nationalists' narrative about Hindu culture.

However the film was also criticised for oversimplifying women's oppression by linking it solely to the control of their sexuality by the Hindu patriarchy. Advocates for lesbian rights were disappointed by Mehta's claim that the film is not primarily about lesbianism but about women's ability to make choices in a culture where such agency is often denied to them. Spivak's renowned essay highlights how the ideological construction of gender upholds male dominance (Spivak, 1988, p. 82), a phenomenon visually contested by Mehta in her film. Moreover, the visual motif of fire represents both the patriarchal codes of righteous duty and the fiery shame associated with lesbian desire. This lesbian desire empowers the female protagonists, allowing them a narrow escape from complacency within the patriarchal culture of postcolonial, post-partition India. In the film, Sita, an unfulfilled bride in an arranged marriage with Jatin, resides in the same household as Jatin's mother, Bhiji, his older celibate brother Ashok, Ashok's wife Radha (Shabana Azmi) who is naturally sexually unrequitted, and the family servant Mundu. Mehta intricately weaves these characters in and out of the dramatic narrative, visually juxtaposing her lesbian heroines against the more traditional household members.Mehta contends that the film is ultimately about women's agency and ability to make choices, rather than any specific form of sexual preference. "Fire" (1996) is a powerful and provocative film that challenges traditional norms and attitudes towards women's sexuality and identity in Indian society. It remains a significant contribution to the discourse around women's rights, LGBTQ+ rights, and the intersection of gender, caste, and religion in India and beyond.

In her book "Bodies That Matter," gender theorist Judith Butler analyses Deepa Mehta's "Fire" and explores its themes of sexuality, gender, and desire (1996). She argues that the film is a powerful critique of the violence of social norms and conventions surrounding these issues and a call for a more inclusive and expansive understanding of gender and sexuality. According to Butler, the film portrays the experiences of two women who defy traditional gender and sexual norms in Indian society and are subsequently marginalized and excluded.

She notes that the film raises important questions about the relationship between desire, power, and resistance, and argues that the women's desire for each other is a political act of resistance against dominant norms and conventions. Butler views "Fire" as a significant work of political art that challenges dominant norms and conventions surrounding gender, sexuality, and desire. She believes that the film makes an important contribution to the ongoing struggle for sexual and gender liberation, and calls for a more expansive and inclusive understanding of these issues. By depicting the complexities of their desires and the tension between societal expectations and personal fulfilment, Mehta questions the fixed categories of gender and sexuality. The characters' actions and choices defy traditional gender roles and challenge the notion of a rigid binary system.

"Earth" (1998) is a movie that takes place in Lahore in 1947, against the backdrop of the Partition of India and the ensuing communal conflicts. Lenny, a young girl living with her Hindu father and Muslim nanny Shanta, bears witness to the deterioration of relationships between the diverse communities living in Lahore. As communal violence takes hold, Lenny grapples with questions of identity, loyalty, and the possibility of transcending the boundaries of religious difference. The film delves into themes of identity, trauma, and memory. Deepa Mehta's female characters, especially Shanta and Lenny, are portrayed as powerful individuals with valuable ideas. Shanta, a beautiful woman who is the focal point among her group of male friends with varying religious beliefs, represents the marked and divided territory, foregrounding an existing nationalistic discourse. Shanta experiences the love of a gentle personality named Hasan and the erratic and temperamental Dil Nawaz, highlighting her power of attraction and control over others. Her character symbolizes India before the partition, which people are fighting over. The feminist theory explores themes of gender inequality, discrimination, oppression, patriarchy, and stereotyping, which are all prominent in the movie.

Mehta's film "Earth" delves into the way dominant discourses of nationalism and identity can lead to violence and exclusion. The film raises philosophical questions about the construction of identity and its connection to power dynamics, including violence. Drawing from Spivak's case study of the "Rani of Sirmur," the film portrays the Partition of India in 1947 and its aftermath, exploring how it intersects with issues of gender and class (Spivak, 1985, p. 128-151). Embracing Spivak's theory on witnessing, I believe the film challenges established historical narratives by emphasising the social and cultural aspects of the event, along with the experiences of subaltern women (Spivak, 1985, p. 128-151). Spivak's approach involves actively engaging with the past, transcending the typical rational elements of history writing and fact-finding. In "Earth," the narrative is adapted from a complex and troubled framework, drawing from a first-person witnessing account in Bapsi Sidhwa's biographical story. This makes "Earth" a powerful work of art that offers a multifaceted understanding of the Partition and its aftermath, shedding light on the agency and resilience of marginalised women.

Through the lens of Spivak's ideas, examining Mehta's films reveals deeper layers of meaning and insights into how Mehta explores power dynamics and the agency of marginalised

individuals. Spivak seeks "to establish a transferential relationship with the Rani of Simur to be haunted by her slight ghost.", which is reflected in Mehta's storytelling, providing a platform for the subaltern to express themselves and inviting viewers to critically analyse the structures of power and oppression that perpetuate their marginalisation (Spivak, 1988, p. 21-78). Mehta's films navigate the challenge of representing the subaltern accurately and without further marginalisation by creating complex and multi-dimensional characters who resist simplistic categorization. These characters defy stereotypes and challenge dominant narratives, emphasizing the intricacy and diversity of subaltern experiences.

In "Water" (2005), set in 1938 India, a group of widows are forced to live in an ashram in Varanasi, a holy city. The story begins with eight-year-old Chuyia learning of her husband's death and being sent to the ashram to live the rest of her life in segregation from society. There, she meets other widows, including Kalyani, who is forced into prostitution by the matriarch of the ashram, Madhumati. Shakuntala, a devout Hindu, becomes a surrogate mother to Chuyia, while Narayan, who opposes caste oppression, falls in love with Kalyani. As Chuyia questions the societal and religious traditions that have subjugated the widows, the film explores themes of patriarchy, caste, and the oppression of women in traditional Indian society. The controversial film faced protests and censorship in India.

Drawing from Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak's analysis of "subaltern women," the film "Water" establishes a link between the portrayal of widows at the ashram and broader issues such as imperialism, nationalism, and capitalism (Spivak, 1988). In accordance with Spivak's theory of "Third-World-Woman" (Spivak, 1988, p227-283), the film's critique of patriarchy and the caste system can be interpreted as a metaphor for the subaltern, whose voices are often silenced and who are excluded from mainstream society. This exclusion of widows from mainstream society reflects the broader exclusion of the subaltern from the global capitalist economy, aligning the portrayal of widows as a marginalised group with broader issues of human rights and social justice. Spivak argues that the subaltern is systematically denied the ability to voice their experiences, overshadowed by dominant discourses and structures of power. Likewise, Mehta's films illustrate how societal norms and structures suppress the subaltern's ability to express themselves openly. The characters in her films face oppressive societal pressures that restrict their agency and silence their voices. In essence, Mehta's "Water" aligns with Spivak's perspective, offering a powerful depiction of the struggles and silencing faced by marginalised groups. The film's exploration of widows' lives exposes larger issues of oppression and exclusion, ultimately making a compelling statement on the need for social change and the amplification of marginalised voices.

Furthermore, by applying Butler's exploration of "how gender is given," I perceive the widows' defiance against patriarchal norms and oppressive social structures as a form of political resistance (Butler, 1990, p71-74). According to Butler, this act of resistance is crucial in challenging prevailing norms and fostering the potential for transformative social and political change. Her analysis prompts essential inquiries about the intricate relationship between power

and resistance. Through Butler's lens, we gain a profound comprehension of how these films interrogate and dismantle traditional ideas concerning gender and sexuality. The films defy a binary understanding of gender, revealing its performative nature and illustrating how power and societal institutions influence and regulate identities. Mehta's storytelling urges viewers to introspect and question their own gender experiences, inviting them to challenge the oppressive structures that constrain personal agency and self-expression.

Deepa Mehta's films have challenged social norms and sparked important conversations about the role of gender and sexuality in Indian society by tackling taboo subjects such as lesbianism, premarital sex, and widowhood. These films have also portrayed the ways in which gendered violence is often used as a tool of nationalism and identity politics. Additionally, Mehta's films have contributed to broader discussions about the nature of Indian identity and the importance of acknowledging and addressing historical traumas, particularly related to the partition of India and the role of religion in Indian society.

Mehta's films have inspired social and political activism in India and beyond. For example, "Water" sparked a national conversation about the plight of widows in India and led to the creation of several organizations dedicated to supporting widows and challenging discriminatory practices. Similarly, "Fire" inspired important discussions about homosexuality and LGBTQ rights in India and may have helped to lay the groundwork for the decriminalization of homosexuality in India in 2018.

Critics have read Mehta's trilogy as a commentary on the impact of globalization on Indian society and culture. They argue that the films explore the ways in which globalization has led to cultural hybridity and the blurring of traditional boundaries, while also exacerbating existing social inequalities and power dynamics.

Laura Mulvey and Gayatri Spivak's theory offer divergent viewpoints on Mehta's films, with Mulvey's theory of "masculinisation" highlighting how the films challenge patriarchal structures in Indian society through strong female characters who defy traditional gender roles and assert their autonomy (Mulvey, 1975, p29-38). On the other hand, Spivak's theory on the subalternity of women contends that Mehta's films reinforce certain stereotypes about Indian culture and society, depicting the "oppressed Indian woman" and the marginalisation of Dalits by upper castes (Spivak, 1988, p227-283). Employing Spivak's concept of "sati" as a motif, "Fire" portrays lesbianism as an escape from oppressive patriarchal families, rather than legitimising it as a genuine form of desire and sexuality. Likewise, Spivak's notion of "double or triple subalternity of women" critiques "Water" for presenting widows as helpless victims of patriarchal traditions, neglecting their agency and capacity for resistance.

Overall, Spivak's critique of colonialism and patriarchy sheds light on Mehta's larger concern about the politics of cultural representation and how dominant Western discourses influence perceptions and understanding of postcolonial cultures in her films.

Ravi Vasudevan (2008) discussed the significance of sexuality in Mehta's film, noting

that it is often depicted as a source of tension, conflict, trauma, and historical oppression. They argue that the portrayal of sexuality in Mehta's films is important because it exposes the ways in which discrimination and inequality continue to persist in contemporary India.

Deepa Mehta's trilogy films have been praised by film studies philosophers, scholars, and activists for their rich and complex themes that challenge traditional notions of caste, gender, and power in India. They expose the marginalisation and exploitation of oppressed communities in India, sparking important debates and discussions on social justice and human rights.

Anand Teltumbde's essay argues that the films are a powerful critique of caste-based discrimination and oppression in Indian society, highlighting the ways in which caste-based discrimination permeates every aspect of social life in India (Teltumbde, 2017, p26). It is praiseworthy to see that "Water" as a film has a portrayal of Dalit women who are doubly marginalised by their caste status and gender, and who are further marginalised by the forces of globalization.

Examining Deepa Mehta's trilogy from a Foucauldian perspective reveals how power operates in Indian society through social norms, institutions, and discourses (Foucault, 2000). As stated by Spivak, "Because of the power of the word "power," Foucault admits to using the "metaphor of the point which progressively irradiates its surroundings" (Spivak, 1988, p27). The films critique these power structures and offer a lens through which to analyse themes of colonialism, gender, sexuality, and caste. Deepa Mehta's trilogy, comprising "Fire," "Earth," and "Water," stands as a remarkable body of work that courageously delves into the intricate social and political issues plaguing Indian society. Through her poignant and thought-provoking storytelling, Mehta challenges dominant norms and institutions, sparking essential conversations and debates about gender, sexuality, religion, and caste.

The trilogy's exploration of power dynamics and calls for resistance against oppressive forces make it a significant contribution to the social and cultural landscape of India. Mehta's films have broken social taboos, shattered silences, and shed light on the struggles and discrimination faced by marginalised communities. They have inspired social and political activism, fostering a greater awareness and understanding of the need for a more inclusive and equitable society.

Moreover, Mehta's trilogy has exemplified the transformative potential of art in driving social change. Through the power of storytelling, these films have encouraged viewers to question established narratives, challenge societal norms, and engage in critical discourse. They have fostered empathy, compassion, and a sense of collective responsibility, urging individuals to actively work towards a more just and equitable world.

While the impact of Deepa Mehta's trilogy may vary for each individual, there is no denying its significant role in igniting important discussions, advocating for social justice, and contributing to the ongoing struggle for equality. As the trilogy continues to resonate with

audiences across borders, it serves as a reminder that art has the power to challenge, inspire, and shape our understanding of the complex social and political realities we navigate.

Deepa Mehta's trilogy will undoubtedly leave a lasting legacy, not only in the realm of cinema but also in the realms of social activism and cultural transformation. It stands as a testament to the indomitable spirit of storytelling and the potential of art to dismantle oppressive systems and pave the way for a more inclusive and compassionate society.

In "Fire," for example, dominant social norms surrounding gender and sexuality marginalise and exclude the characters, while institutions such as the family, state, and media enforce these norms. "Earth" demonstrates how violence and trauma are linked to dominant discourses surrounding nationalism, religion, and identity, which produce and circulate knowledge about the self and the other, leading to exclusion and violence. However, the films also offer a call to resist these power structures, challenging dominant norms, institutions, and discourses. The characters in these films engage in acts of resistance that offer hope for social change and embody the transformative power of resistance.

Deepa Mehta's trilogy has inspired social and political activism and helped to create a more inclusive and equitable society in India and beyond. This trilogy holds immense personal importance to me due to its profound impact on my understanding of social issues and its ability to evoke introspection and empathy. Deepa Mehta's "Fire," "Earth," and "Water" have touched my heart and challenged my perspectives in ways that few other works of art have.

As an individual, I have always been deeply invested in issues of social justice and equality. These films have acted as a catalyst for my own personal growth and have expanded my understanding of the complexities of gender, sexuality, religion, and caste in Indian society. Mehta fearlessly addresses these themes, confronting the uncomfortable realities that are often brushed under the rug.

The trilogy has opened my eyes to the oppressive power structures that exist within society and has motivated me to question and challenge these norms. Mehta's films have exposed the flaws in traditional gender roles, forcing me to critically examine the expectations placed on individuals based on their sexual orientation or identity. They have shown me the importance of recognizing and celebrating diversity, rather than suppressing it.

Moreover, the trilogy has shed light on the significance of individual agency and resistance against oppressive forces. By presenting characters who refuse to conform to societal expectations, Mehta has inspired me to embrace my own authenticity and to stand up for the rights and dignity of others. The films have taught me that change begins with personal convictions and the courage to challenge the status quo.

Beyond their cinematic impact, these films have ignited a fire within me to actively engage in social and political activism. They have reminded me of the power of storytelling as a tool for social change and have reinforced my belief in the transformative potential of art.

Mehta's trilogy has motivated me to participate in conversations, contribute to causes, and work towards a more inclusive and equitable society.

On a personal level, these films have moved me to tears, challenged my biases, and inspired a deep sense of empathy and compassion. They have given me a greater appreciation for the struggles faced by marginalised communities and have fostered a desire to be a part of the solution. In essence, Deepa Mehta's trilogy is important to me on a personal level because it has broadened my perspective, awakened my social consciousness, and ignited a passion for social justice. It has empowered me to question established norms, celebrate diversity, and actively contribute to the creation of a more inclusive and equitable world. These films will forever hold a special place in my heart, serving as a constant reminder of the power of art to inspire change and transform lives.

Deepa Mehta's Elements trilogy is also important in the current Indian society because it provides a platform for women's voices. The films feature strong female characters who are complex, relatable, and empowering. They challenge traditional stereotypes about women and offer a vision of a more just and equitable society. The Elements trilogy is a powerful reminder that cinema can be a force for change. The films have helped to raise awareness of important social issues and have given a voice to women who have been traditionally marginalised. They are an important part of the Indian film landscape and continue to be relevant today.

WORK CITED :

Butler, J. *Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity.* New York: Routledge, 1990

Butler, J. (1996). *Bodies that Matter: On the Discursive Limits of 'Sex'.* London: Routledge.

Butler, J. (1993) *"Imitation and Gender Insubordination"* The Lesbian and Gay Studies Reader. Ed. Henry Abelove, Michele Aina Barale, and David M. Halperin. New York: Routledge, 1993.

Foucault, M., Faubion, J., and Rabinow, P., (2000) *Power.* New York: The New Press.

Mulvey, L. (1975) *Visual Pleasure and Narrative Cinema.* London Afterall Books.

Mishra. V., (2002) *Temples of Desire.* Routledge., p.211

Pandey, G. *"The Prose of Otherness"* in *Subaltern Studies VIII: Essays in Honour of Ranajit Guha,* edited by David Arnold and David Hardiman. Delhi: Oxford University Press, 1994.

Spivak, G. 1985. *"The Rani of Sirmur"*, University of Essex, pp. 128-151. 1985

Spivak, G. (1988) *"Can the Subaltern?" Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture.* Cary Nelson and Lawrence Grossberg. Chicago and Urbana: University of Illinois Press

Teltumbde, A. *"Dalits: Past, present and future* (2017).

Vasudevan, R. *The meanings of Bollywood, Journal of the Moving Image*, (2008)